

**REMARKS**

The present application stands with the abstract of the disclosure objected to for length and use of terms "means" and "said." The substitute abstract above should obviate the Examiner's objections.

Claims 2-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for various noted lack of antecedents. The amendments above to claims 2, 5 and 6 are believed to obviate the Examiner's objection.

The Examiner noted that claims 1 and 11-17 are allowed.

In view of the foregoing, allowance of all the claims presently in the application and passage to issue of the subject application is respectfully requested. If the Examiner should feel that the application is not yet in a condition for allowance and that a telephone interview would be useful, he is invited to contact applicants' undersigned attorney at 973, 386-8252.


Respectfully submitted,

**David Lahiri Bhatoolaul**

**Qiang Cao**

**Patrick Georges Venceslas Charriere**

**Seau Sian Lim**

By   
**Stephen M. Gurey**  
**Attorney for Applicants**  
**Reg. No.: 27336**

Date: Dec. 6, 2004

**Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)**  
**Lucent Technologies Inc.**  
**101 Crawfords Corner Road**  
**Room 3J-219**  
**Holmdel, New Jersey 07733-3030**